UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,154	06/16/2005	Atsushi Mae	450100-04851	2743
Frommer Lawre	7590 09/05/200 ence & Haug	EXAMINER		
745 Fifth Avenu	ue	DANG, HUNG Q		
New York, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/539,154	MAE, ATSUSHI		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Hung Q. Dang	2621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 July This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 June 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the	r election requirement. er.)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 6 recites, "a program for recording method for a recording medium …"

However, it appears that such would reasonably be interpreted by one of ordinary skill in the art as software, per se. This subject matter is not limited to that which falls within a statutory category of invention because it is not limited to a process, machine, manufacture, or a composition of matter. Software does not fall within a statutory category since it is clearly not a series of steps or acts to constitute a process, not a mechanical device or combination of mechanical devices to constitute a machine, not a tangible physical article or object which is some form of matter to be a product and constitute a manufacture, and not a composition of two or more substances to constitute a composition of matter.

However, in contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035 (MPEP 2106.01.I).

Accordingly, the examiner suggests amending the claim to "a computer-readable medium encoded with a software program" or equivalent in order to make the claim statutory. Any amendment to the claim would be commensurate with its corresponding disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isobe et al. (US 2003/0152369) and Kori et al. (US Patent 5,852,528).

Regarding claim 1, Isobe et al. disclose a recording apparatus ([0026]) capable of generating management data so that a system stream with an audio stream and a video stream multiplexed therein (AV stream in [0038]) can be managed as on recording unit from a recording start to a recording end corresponding to the recording start ([0016]; [0038]; [0043]) and also a plurality of recording units can be managed as another management unit ([0026]; [0028]), and also recording the system stream and management data ([0038]; [0043]; [0016]); characterized in that data for determination changing each time data corresponding to said recording unit is recorded in the recording medium is generated based on the management data and is recorded in the recording medium ([0016]; [0043]) to determine whether a plurality of recording units are

recorded on the same day in order to be placed under the same management unit (Isobe et al., [0026]; [0049]).

However, Isobe et al. do not disclose explicitly said data for determination is compared to the management data to determine a recording apparatus having the recording unit for data recorded last, and said management unit is switched according to a result of said determination.

Kori et al. disclose data for determination is compared to management data to determine a recording apparatus having the recording unit for data recorded last, and said management unit is switched according to a result of said determination (column 5, lines 22-46).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to incorporate the comparison of the data for determination and the management data disclosed by Kori et al. as discussed above into the recording apparatus disclosed by Isobe et al. The incorporated feature is necessary because, without this feature, the recording apparatus disclosed by Isobe et al. could not manage the recording units properly, i.e., a chapter could not be determined to be associated with a corresponding chapter (Isobe et al., [0026]; [0049]).

Regarding claim 2, Kori et al. also disclose said data for determination is time information of said recording unit recorded last in the recording medium (column 5, lines 22-46).

Regarding claim 3, see the teachings of Isobe et al. and Kori et al. as discussed in claim 2 above. Furthermore, Isobe et al. also disclose said data for determination are

Application/Control Number: 10/539154 Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

used to determine whether or not a plurality of recording units are recorded on the same day ([0026]; [0049]). One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that such a data for determination must comprise the recording start time and recording end time.

Regarding claim 4, Isobe et al. also disclose said data for determination is assigned to said management data and is recorded in said recording medium ([0016]; [0043]).

Claim 5 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 1 above.

Claim 6 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 1 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Q. Dang whose telephone number is (571)270-1116. The examiner can normally be reached on IFT.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THAI Q. TRAN can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hung Q Dang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/Thai Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621